

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,603	02/24/2004	Michael Vaughn Konshak	2003-005-DSK	3213
Topic O1/26/2005  STORAGE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION  One StorageTek Drive  Louisville, CO 80028-4309			EXAMINER	
			NGO, HUNG V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(I) <sup>(1</sup>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/785,603	KONSHAK, MICHAEL VAUGHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung V Ngo	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA*  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica*  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutor for period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a attion.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of this y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>11-09-2004</i> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-19</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority doc						
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		<del></del>				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li> </ol>	•	n received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	(s)/Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	/SB/08) 5)   Notice of 6)   Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/785,603

Art Unit: 2831

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toy.

Toy discloses a metal plate (14), first and second ribs (11), extended lips (17), wherein the extended lip facilitates assembly of the electromagnetic gasket into a receiving device (4), or chassis member (re claims 1-4)

Re claim 6, see col. 5, line 10.

Re claim 8, see Fig 14

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 7, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy.

The teaching as discussed above does not disclose the receiving device made of aluminum, the shielding component including a coating of tin, or the width of the gasket is 2 cm or less. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2831

time the invention was made to use aluminum for the receiving device or a coat of tin for the shielding component of Toy, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a specific size of the gasket of Toy, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-19 are allowed

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The limitation "the receiving device includes slots" of claim 10, "at least one storage array module inserted into the at least one drive bay" of claim 16, " in combination with other limitations present is neither taught nor disclosed in the prior art of record.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11-09-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues (1) that there is no mention in Toy the using of the springy fingers to facilitate assembly of the sealing strip into the groove. With respect to (1), the

Application/Control Number: 10/785,603

Art Unit: 2831

extended lips (11) of Toy are functioning as claimed and it has been addressed in previous paragraphs.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 EXT 31. The fax

Art Unit: 2831

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVN 01-18-2005 Hmy v NGI

HUNG V. NGO